

# On representations of the exceptional superconformal algebra $CK_6$

Elena Poletaeva

*Department of Mathematics*

*University of California*

*Riverside, CA 92521, USA*

*Electronic mail:* elena@math.ucr.edu

We realize the exceptional superconformal algebra  $CK_6$ , spanned by 32 fields, inside the Lie superalgebra of pseudodifferential symbols on the supercircle  $S^{1|3}$ . We obtain a one-parameter family of irreducible representations of  $CK_6$  in a superspace spanned by 8 fields.

## 1. Introduction

A *superconformal algebra* is a simple complex Lie superalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  spanned by the coefficients of a finite family of pairwise local fields  $a(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{(n)} z^{-n-1}$ , one of which is the Virasoro field  $L(z)$ , [3, 8, 11]. Superconformal algebras play an important role in the string theory and conformal field theory.

The Lie superalgebras  $K(N)$  of contact vector fields with Laurent polynomials as coefficients (with  $N$  odd variables) is a superconformal algebra which is characterized by its action on a contact 1-form, [3, 6, 8, 12]. These Lie superalgebras are also known to physicists as the  $SO(N)$  superconformal algebras, [1]. Note that  $K(N)$  is spanned by  $2^N$  fields. It is simple if  $N \neq 4$ , if  $N = 4$ , then the derived Lie superalgebra  $K'(4)$  is simple. The nontrivial central extensions of  $K(1)$ ,  $K(2)$  and  $K'(4)$  are well-known: they are isomorphic to the so-called Neveu-Schwarz superalgebra, “the  $N = 2$ ”, and “the big  $N = 4$ ” superconformal algebra, respectively, [1].

It was discovered independently in [3] and [17] that the Lie superalgebra of contact vector fields with polynomial coefficients in 1 even and 6 odd variables contains an exceptional simple Lie superalgebra (see also [6, 9, 10, 18, 19]).

In [3] a new exceptional superconformal algebra spanned by 32 fields was constructed as a subalgebra of  $K(6)$ , and it was denoted by  $CK_6$ . It was proven that  $CK_6$  has no nontrivial central extensions. It was also pointed out that  $CK_6$  appears to be the only new superconformal algebra, which completes their list (see [11, 12]).

In this work we realize  $CK_6$  inside the Poisson superalgebra of pseudodifferential symbols on the supercircle  $S^{1|3}$ . It is known that a Lie algebra of contact vector fields can be realized as a subalgebra of Poisson algebra, [2]. In particular, the Lie algebra  $Vect(S^1)$  of complex polynomial vector fields on the circle has a natural embedding into the Poisson algebra  $P$  of formal Laurent series on the cylinder  $T^*S^1 \setminus S^1$ . One can consider a family of Lie algebras  $P_h$ ,  $h \in ]0, 1]$ , having the same underlying vector space, which contracts to  $P$ , [13-16].

Analogously,  $K(2N)$  is embedded into the Poisson superalgebra  $P(2N)$  of pseudodifferential symbols on the supercircle  $S^{1|N}$ , and there is a family of Lie superalgebras  $P_h(2N)$ , which contracts to  $P(2N)$  (see [20]).

A natural question is whether there exists an embedding

$$K(2N) \subset P_h(2N). \quad (1.1)$$

Recall that the answer is “yes” if  $N = 2$ , more precisely, there exists an embedding of a nontrivial central extension of  $K'(4) = [K(4), K(4)]$ :

$$\hat{K}'(4) \subset P_h(4). \quad (1.2)$$

Associated with this embedding, there is a one-parameter family of irreducible representations of  $\hat{K}'(4)$  realized on 4 fields, [20].

Note that embedding (1.1) doesn't hold if  $N > 2$ , [5]. However, it is remarkable that it is possible to embed  $CK_6$ , which is “one half” of  $K(6)$ , into  $P_h(6)$ . In this work we construct this embedding, and obtain the corresponding one-parameter family of representations of  $CK_6$  realized on 8 fields.

## 2. Contact superconformal algebra $K(2N)$

Let  $\Lambda(2N)$  be the Grassmann algebra in  $2N$  variables  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_N$ , and let  $\Lambda(1, 2N) = \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \otimes \Lambda(2N)$  be an associative superalgebra with natural multiplication and with the following parity of generators:  $p(t) = \bar{0}$ ,  $p(\xi_i) = p(\eta_i) = \bar{1}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, N$ . Let  $W(2N)$  be the Lie superalgebra of all derivations of  $\Lambda(1, 2N)$ . Let  $\partial_t$ ,  $\partial_{\xi_i}$  and  $\partial_{\eta_i}$  stand for  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ ,  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i}$  and  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_i}$ , respectively. By definition,

$$K(2N) = \{D \in W(2N) \mid D\Omega = f\Omega \text{ for some } f \in \Lambda(1, 2N)\}, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\Omega = dt + \sum_{i=1}^N \xi_i d\eta_i + \eta_i d\xi_i$  is a differential 1-form, which is called a *contact form* (see [3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 10, 12]). The Euler operator is defined by  $E = \sum_{i=1}^N \xi_i \partial_{\xi_i} + \eta_i \partial_{\eta_i}$ .

We also define operators  $\Delta = 2 - E$  and  $H_f = (-1)^{p(f)+1} \sum_{i=1}^N \partial_{\xi_i} f \partial_{\eta_i} + \partial_{\eta_i} f \partial_{\xi_i}$ , where  $f \in \Lambda(1, 2N)$ .

There is a one-to-one correspondence between the differential operators  $D \in K(2N)$  and the functions  $f \in \Lambda(1, 2N)$ . The correspondence  $f \leftrightarrow D_f$  is given by

$$D_f = \Delta(f) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} E - H_f. \quad (2.2)$$

The contact bracket on  $\Lambda(1, 2N)$  is

$$\{f, g\}_K = \Delta(f) \partial_t g - \partial_t f \Delta(g) - \{f, g\}_{P.b}, \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$\{f, g\}_{P.b} = (-1)^{p(f)+1} \sum_{i=1}^N \partial_{\xi_i} f \partial_{\eta_i} g + \partial_{\eta_i} f \partial_{\xi_i} g \quad (2.4)$$

is the Poisson bracket. Thus  $[D_f, D_g] = D_{\{f, g\}_K}$ .

The superalgebra  $K(6)$  contains an exceptional superconformal algebra, spanned by 32 fields, as a subalgebra. This superconformal algebra is denoted by  $CK_6$  in [3, 8, 11]. Other notations are also used in the literature (see [6]). Let  $\Theta = \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 \eta_1 \eta_2 \eta_3$ . In what follows  $(i, j, k) = (1, 2, 3)$  stays for the equality of cyclic permutations.

**Proposition 1** (see [3, 6]).  $CK_6$  is spanned by the following 32 fields:

$$\begin{aligned} L_n &= t^{n+1} - (\partial_t)^3 t^{n+1} \Theta, \\ G_n^i &= t^{n+1} \xi_i + (\partial_t)^2 t^{n+1} \partial_{\eta_i} \Theta, \quad \tilde{G}_n^i = t^n \eta_i + (\partial_t)^2 t^n \partial_{\xi_i} \Theta, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \\ T_n^{ij} &= t^n \xi_i \eta_j - (\partial_t) t^n \partial_{\eta_i} \partial_{\xi_j} \Theta, \quad i \neq j, \quad T_n^i = t^n \xi_i \eta_i - (\partial_t) t^n \partial_{\eta_i} \partial_{\xi_i} \Theta, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \\ S_n^i &= t^n \xi_i (\xi_j \eta_j + \xi_k \eta_k), \quad \tilde{S}_n^i = t^{n-1} \eta_i (\xi_j \eta_j - \xi_k \eta_k), \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \\ I_n^i &= t^{n-1} \xi_i \eta_j \eta_k, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \quad I_n = t^{n+1} \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3, \\ J_n^{ij} &= t^{n+1} \xi_i \xi_j - (\partial_t) t^{n+1} \partial_{\eta_i} \partial_{\eta_j} \Theta, \quad \tilde{J}_n^{ij} = t^{n-1} \eta_i \eta_j - (\partial_t) t^{n-1} \partial_{\xi_i} \partial_{\xi_j} \Theta, \quad i < j, \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

where  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $(i, j, k) = (1, 2, 3)$  in the formulae for  $S_n^i, \tilde{S}_n^i$  and  $I_n^i$ .

### 3. The Poisson superalgebra $P(2N)$ of pseudodifferential symbols on $S^{1|N}$

The *Poisson algebra  $P$  of pseudodifferential symbols on the circle* is formed by the formal series  $A(t, \xi) = \sum_{-\infty}^n a_i(t) \xi^i$ , where  $a_i(t) \in \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ , and the variable  $\xi$  corresponds to  $\partial_t$ . The Poisson bracket is defined as follows:

$$\{A(t, \xi), B(t, \xi)\} = \partial_\xi A(t, \xi) \partial_t B(t, \xi) - \partial_t A(t, \xi) \partial_\xi B(t, \xi). \quad (3.1)$$

The Poisson algebra  $P$  has a deformation  $P_h$ , where  $h \in [0, 1]$ . The associative multiplication in the vector space  $P$  is determined as follows:

$$A(t, \xi) \circ_h B(t, \xi) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{h^n}{n!} \partial_\xi^n A(t, \xi) \partial_t^n B(t, \xi). \quad (3.2)$$

The Lie algebra structure on  $P_h$  is given by  $[A, B]_h = A \circ_h B - B \circ_h A$ , so that the family  $P_h$  contracts to  $P$ .  $P_{h=1}$  is called the *Lie algebra of pseudodifferential symbols on the circle*, [13-16].

The *Poisson superalgebra*  $P(2N)$  of pseudodifferential symbols on the supercircle  $S^{1|N}$  has the underlying vector space  $P \otimes \Lambda(2N)$ . The Poisson bracket is defined as follows:

$$\{A, B\} = \partial_\xi A \partial_t B - \partial_t A \partial_\xi B + \{A, B\}_{P.b.} \quad (3.3)$$

Let  $\Lambda_h(2N)$  be an associative superalgebra with generators  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_N$  and relations:  $\xi_i \xi_j = -\xi_j \xi_i, \eta_i \eta_j = -\eta_j \eta_i, \eta_i \xi_j = h \delta_{i,j} - \xi_j \eta_i$ . Let  $P_h(2N) = P_h \otimes \Lambda_h(2N)$  be an associative superalgebra, where the product of  $A = A_1 \otimes X$  and  $B = B_1 \otimes Y$ , where  $A_1, B_1 \in P_h$ , and  $X, Y \in \Lambda_h(2N)$ , is given by

$$AB = \frac{1}{h} (A_1 \circ_h B_1) \otimes (XY). \quad (3.4)$$

Correspondingly, the Lie bracket in  $P_h(2N)$  is  $[A, B]_h = AB - (-1)^{p(A)p(B)} BA$ , and  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} [A, B]_h = \{A, B\}$ . There exist natural embeddings:  $W(N) \subset P(2N)$  and  $W(N) \subset P_h(2N)$ , where  $W(N)$  is the Lie superalgebra of all derivations of  $\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \otimes \Lambda(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N)$ , so that the commutation relations in  $P(2N)$  and in  $P_h(2N)$ , when restricted to  $W(N)$ , coincide with the commutation relations in  $W(N)$ .  $P_{h=1}(2N)$  is called the *Lie superalgebra of pseudodifferential symbols on  $S^{1|N}$*  (see [20]).

#### 4. Realization of $CK_6$ inside the Poisson superalgebra

**Theorem 1.** The superalgebra  $CK_6$  is spanned by the following 32 fields inside  $P(2N)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} L_{n,0} &= t^{n+1} \xi, \\ G_{n,0}^i &= t^{n+1} \xi \xi_i, \quad \tilde{G}_{n,0}^i = t^n \eta_i - n t^{n-1} \xi^{-1} \xi_j \eta_i \eta_j, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \\ T_{n,0}^{ij} &= t^n \xi_i \eta_j - n t^{n-1} \xi^{-1} \xi_k \xi_i \eta_k \eta_j, \quad i \neq j \neq k, \\ T_{n,0}^i &= -t^n (\xi_j \eta_j + \xi_k \eta_k) + n t^{n-1} \xi^{-1} \xi_j \xi_k \eta_j \eta_k, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \\ S_{n,0}^i &= -t^n \xi_i (\xi_j \eta_j + \xi_k \eta_k) + n t^{n-1} \xi^{-1} \xi_i \xi_j \xi_k \eta_j \eta_k, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \\ \tilde{S}_{n,0}^i &= t^{n-1} \xi^{-1} (\xi_j \eta_j - \xi_k \eta_k) \eta_i, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \\ I_{n,0}^i &= t^{n-1} \xi^{-1} \xi_i \eta_j \eta_k, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \quad I_{n,0} = t^{n+1} \xi \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3, \\ J_{n,0}^{ij} &= t^{n+1} \xi \xi_i \xi_j, \quad \tilde{J}_{n,0}^{ij} = t^{n-1} \xi^{-1} \eta_i \eta_j, \quad i < j, \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

where  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $(i, j, k) = (1, 2, 3)$  in the formulae for  $\tilde{G}_{n,0}^i$ ,  $T_{n,0}^i$ ,  $S_{n,0}^i$ ,  $\tilde{S}_{n,0}^i$ , and  $I_{n,0}^i$ .  
*Proof.* Note that there exists an embedding

$$K(2N) \subset P(2N), \quad N \geq 0, \quad (4.2)$$

see [20]. Consider a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading of the associative superalgebra

$$P(2N) = \oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} P_i(2N) \quad (4.3)$$

defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \deg \xi &= \deg \eta_i = 1, \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, N, \\ \deg t &= \deg \xi_i = 0, \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, N. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

With respect to the Poisson bracket,

$$\{P_i(2N), P_j(2N)\} \subset P_{i+j-1}(2N). \quad (4.5)$$

Thus  $P_1(2N)$  is a subalgebra of  $P(2N)$ , and we will show that  $P_1(2N) \cong K(2N)$ . Equivalently,  $P_1(2N)$  is singled out as the set of all (Hamiltonian) functions  $A(t, \xi, \xi_i, \eta_i) \in P(2N)$  such that the corresponding vector fields supercommute with the semi-Euler operator:

$$[H_A, \xi \partial_\xi + \sum_{i=1}^N \eta_i \partial_{\eta_i}] = 0, \quad (4.6)$$

where

$$A(t, \xi, \xi_i, \eta_i) \longrightarrow H_A = \partial_\xi A \partial_t - \partial_t A \partial_\xi - (-1)^{p(A)} \sum_{i=1}^N (\partial_{\xi_i} A \partial_{\eta_i} + \partial_{\eta_i} A \partial_{\xi_i}). \quad (4.7)$$

To describe an isomorphism from  $K(2N)$  onto  $P_1(2N)$ , we change the variable  $t$  in  $\Lambda(1|2N)$ :  $t \xrightarrow{\chi} 2t - \sum_{i=1}^N \xi_i \eta_i$ . Correspondingly, we have the following contact bracket on  $\Lambda(1|2N)$ :

$$\{f, g\}_{\tilde{K}} = \tilde{\Delta}(f) \partial_t g - \partial_t f \tilde{\Delta}(g) - \{f, g\}_{P.b.}, \quad (4.8)$$

where  $\tilde{\Delta} = 1 - \tilde{E}$  and  $\tilde{E} = \sum_{i=1}^N \eta_i \partial_{\eta_i}$ . Note that the corresponding contact form is  $\tilde{\Omega} = dt + \sum_{i=1}^N \xi_i d\eta_i$ . Define a map  $\varphi : \Lambda(1|2N) \rightarrow P_1(2N)$  as follows:

$$f \xrightarrow{\varphi} A_f = (-1)^s \xi^{1-s} f, \quad (4.9)$$

where  $s$  is a scalar given by  $\tilde{E}(f) = sf$ . Then

$$\{A_f, A_g\} = A_{\{f,g\}_{\tilde{K}}}. \quad (4.10)$$

Applying the isomorphism  $\psi = \varphi \circ \chi$  to the fields (2.5), we obtain the following fields:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(L_n) &= 2^{n+1}L_{n,0} - 2^{n-1}(n+1)(T_{n,0}^1 + T_{n,0}^2 + T_{n,0}^3), & \psi(G_n^i) &= 2^{n+1}G_{n,0}^i - 2^n(n+1)S_{n,0}^i, \\ \psi(\tilde{G}_n^i) &= -2^n\tilde{G}_{n,0}^i + 2^{n-1}n\tilde{S}_{n,0}^i, & \psi(T_n^{ij}) &= -2^nT_{n,0}^{ij}, & \psi(T_n^i) &= 2^{n-1}(-T_{n,0}^i + T_{n,0}^j + T_{n,0}^k), \\ \psi(S_n^i) &= 2^nS_{n,0}^i, & \psi(\tilde{S}_n^i) &= 2^{n-1}\tilde{S}_{n,0}^i, & \psi(I_n^i) &= 2^{n-1}I_{n,0}^i, & \psi(I_n) &= 2^{n+1}I_{n,0}, \\ \psi(J_n^{ij}) &= 2^{n+1}J_{n,0}^{ij}, & \psi(\tilde{J}_n^{ij}) &= 2^{n-1}\tilde{J}_{n,0}^{ij}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

□

## 5. Realization of $CK_6$ inside the Lie superalgebra of pseudodifferential symbols

Given the embedding (4.2) it is natural to ask whether there exists an embedding

$$K(2N) \subset P_h(2N). \quad (5.1)$$

Recall that if  $N = 2$ , then there is an embedding

$$\hat{K}'(4) \subset P_h(4), \quad (5.2)$$

where  $K'(4) = [K(4), K(4)]$  is a simple ideal in  $K(4)$  of codimension one defined from the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K'(4) \rightarrow K(4) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}D_{t^{-1}\xi_1\xi_2\eta_1\eta_2} \rightarrow 0, \quad (5.3)$$

and  $\hat{K}'(4)$  is a nontrivial central extension of  $K'(4)$  (see [20]). The superalgebra  $K'(4) \subset P(4)$  is spanned by the 12 fields:

$$f(\xi_1, \xi_2, t)\xi \text{ and } f(\xi_1, \xi_2, t)\eta_i \quad (i = 1, 2), \quad (5.4)$$

which form a subalgebra isomorphic to  $W(2)$ , together with 4 fields:  $F_n^i$ , where  $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ , and  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ :

$$F_n^0 = t^{n-1}\xi^{-1}\eta_1\eta_2, \quad (5.5)$$

$$F_n^i = t^{n-1}\xi^{-1}\xi_i\eta_1\eta_2, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

$$F_n^3 = t^{n-1}\xi^{-1}\xi_1\xi_2\eta_1\eta_2, \quad n \neq 0.$$

**Proposition 2** ([20]). The superalgebra  $\hat{K}'(4)$  in (5.2) is spanned by the 12 fields given in (5.4) together with 4 fields  $F_{n,h}^i$ :

$$\begin{aligned} F_{n,h}^0 &= (\xi^{-1} \circ_h t^{n-1})\eta_1\eta_2, \\ F_{n,h}^i &= (\xi^{-1} \circ_h t^{n-1})\eta_1\eta_2\xi_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \\ F_{n,h}^3 &= (\xi^{-1} \circ_h t^{n-1})\eta_1\eta_2\xi_1\xi_2 + \frac{h}{n}t^n, \quad n \neq 0, \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

and the central element  $h$ , so that  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \hat{K}'(4) = K'(4) \subset P(4)$ .

Note that we cannot obtain the embedding (5.1) if  $N > 2$ , [5]. However, the following theorem holds.

**Theorem 2.** There exists an embedding  $CK_6 \subset P_h(6)$  for each  $h \in ]0, 1]$  such that  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} CK_6 = CK_6 \subset P(6)$ .

*Proof.*  $CK_6$  is spanned by the following fields inside  $P_h(6)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} L_{n,h} &= t^{n+1}\xi, \\ G_{n,h}^i &= t^{n+1}\xi\xi_i, \quad \tilde{G}_{n,h}^i = t^n\eta_i - n\xi^{-1} \circ_h t^{n-1}\eta_i\eta_j\xi_j, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \\ T_{n,h}^{ij} &= t^n\xi_i\eta_j - n\xi^{-1} \circ_h t^{n-1}\eta_k\eta_j\xi_k\xi_i, \quad i \neq j \neq k, \\ T_{n,h}^i &= -t^n(\xi_j\eta_j + \xi_k\eta_k) + n\xi^{-1} \circ_h t^{n-1}\eta_j\eta_k\xi_j\xi_k + ht^n, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \\ S_{n,h}^i &= -t^n\xi_i(\xi_j\eta_j + \xi_k\eta_k) + n\xi^{-1} \circ_h t^{n-1}\eta_j\eta_k\xi_i\xi_j\xi_k + ht^n\xi_i, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \\ \tilde{S}_{n,h}^i &= \xi^{-1} \circ_h t^{n-1}(\eta_j\eta_i\xi_j - \eta_k\eta_i\xi_k), \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \\ I_{n,h}^i &= \xi^{-1} \circ_h t^{n-1}\eta_j\eta_k\xi_i, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \quad I_{n,h} = t^{n+1}\xi\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3, \\ J_{n,h}^{ij} &= t^{n+1}\xi\xi_i\xi_j, \quad \tilde{J}_{n,h}^{ij} = \xi^{-1} \circ_h t^{n-1}\eta_i\eta_j, \quad i < j, \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

where  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $(i, j, k) = (1, 2, 3)$  in the formulae for  $\tilde{G}_{n,h}^i$ ,  $T_{n,h}^i$ ,  $S_{n,h}^i$ ,  $\tilde{S}_{n,h}^i$  and  $I_{n,h}^i$ . Let  $h \in [0, 1]$ . Set  $J_{n,h}^{ij} = -J_{n,h}^{ji}$  and  $\tilde{J}_{n,h}^{ij} = -\tilde{J}_{n,h}^{ji}$  for  $i > j$ . Given  $h \in [0, 1]$ , set

$$L_n := L_{n,h}, \quad \dots, \quad \tilde{J}_n^{ij} := \tilde{J}_{n,h}^{ij}. \tag{5.8}$$

Recall that if  $h = 0$ , then (5.8) gives elements (4.1). The nonvanishing commutation relations between the elements (5.8) are as follows: let  $i \neq j \neq k$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} [L_n, L_m] &= (m - n)L_{n+m}, [L_n, G_m^i] = (m - n)G_{n+m}^i, [L_n, \tilde{G}_m^i] = m\tilde{G}_{n+m}^i, \\ [L_n, T_m^{ij}] &= mT_{n+m}^{ij}, [L_n, T_m^i] = mT_{n+m}^i, [L_n, S_m^i] = mS_{n+m}^i, [L_n, \tilde{S}_m^i] = (m + n)\tilde{S}_{n+m}^i, \end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[L_n, I_m^i] &= (m+n)I_{n+m}^i, [L_n, I_m] = (m-n)I_{n+m}, [L_n, J_m^{ij}] = (m-n)J_{n+m}^{ij}, \\
[L_n, \tilde{J}_m^{ij}] &= (m+n)\tilde{J}_{n+m}^{ij}, [G_n^i, G_m^j] = (m-n)J_{n+m}^{ij}, [G_n^i, \tilde{G}_m^j] = mT_{n+m}^{ij}, \\
[G_n^i, T_m^{ji}] &= -G_{n+m}^j + mS_{n+m}^j, [G_n^i, T_m^i] = mS_{n+m}^i, [G_n^i, T_m^j] = G_{n+m}^i, \\
[G_n^i, S_m^j] &= J_{n+m}^{ij}, [G_n^i, \tilde{S}_m^j] = T_{n+m}^{ij}, [\tilde{G}_n^i, \tilde{G}_m^j] = (m-n)\tilde{J}_{n+m}^{ij}, \\
[\tilde{G}_n^i, S_m^i] &= T_{n+m}^i, [\tilde{G}_n^i, S_m^j] = T_{n+m}^{ji}, [\tilde{G}_n^i, \tilde{S}_m^j] = -\tilde{J}_{n+m}^{ij}, [\tilde{G}_n^i, J_m^{ij}] = G_{n+m}^j, \\
[T_n^{ij}, T_m^{ji}] &= T_{n+m}^i - T_{n+m}^j, [T_n^{ij}, T_m^{jk}] = T_{n+m}^{ik}, [T_n^{ij}, T_m^{ki}] = -T_{n+m}^{kj}, [T_n^{ij}, T_m^i] = -T_{n+m}^{ij}, \\
[T_n^{ij}, T_m^j] &= T_{n+m}^{ij}, [T_n^{ij}, S_m^j] = S_{n+m}^i, [T_n^{ij}, \tilde{S}_m^j] = \tilde{S}_{n+m}^j, [T_n^{ij}, \tilde{S}_m^k] = -2T_{n+m}^i, \\
[T_n^{ij}, I_m^j] &= -\tilde{S}_{n+m}^k, [T_n^{ij}, J_m^{jk}] = J_{n+m}^{ik}, [T_n^{ij}, \tilde{J}_m^{jk}] = -\tilde{J}_{n+m}^{jk}, [T_n^j, S_m^i] = -S_{n+m}^i, \\
[T_n^j, \tilde{S}_m^i] &= \tilde{S}_{n+m}^i, [T_n^i, I_m^i] = 2I_{n+m}^i, [T_n^i, I_m] = -2I_{n+m}, \\
[T_n^i, J_m^{ij}] &= [T_n^j, J_m^{ij}] = -J_{n+m}^{ij}, [T_n^k, J_m^{ij}] = -2J_{n+m}^{ij}, [T_n^i, \tilde{J}_m^{ij}] = [T_n^j, \tilde{J}_m^{ij}] = \tilde{J}_{n+m}^{ij}, \\
[T_n^k, \tilde{J}_m^{ij}] &= 2\tilde{J}_{n+m}^{ij}, [J_n^{ij}, \tilde{J}_m^{ij}] = T_{n+m}^k, [J_n^{ij}, \tilde{J}_m^{ik}] = -T_{n+m}^{jk}, [J_n^{ij}, \tilde{J}_m^{jk}] = T_{n+m}^{ik}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $(i, j, k) = (1, 2, 3)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
[G_n^i, \tilde{G}_m^i] &= L_{n+m} - mT_{n+m}^k, [G_n^i, \tilde{S}_m^i] = T_{n+m}^j - T_{n+m}^k, [G_n^i, I_m^j] = T_{n+m}^{jk}, [G_n^i, I_m^k] = -T_{n+m}^{kj}, \\
[G_n^i, J_m^{jk}] &= (m-n)I_{n+m}, [G_n^i, \tilde{J}_m^{jk}] = (m+n)I_{n+m}, [G_n^i, \tilde{J}_m^{ij}] = \tilde{G}_{n+m}^j - (n+m)\tilde{S}_{n+m}^j, \\
[G_n^i, \tilde{J}_m^{ik}] &= \tilde{G}_{n+m}^k, [\tilde{G}_n^i, T_m^{ij}] = \tilde{G}_{n+m}^j - n\tilde{S}_{n+m}^j, [\tilde{G}_n^i, T_m^{ik}] = \tilde{G}_{n+m}^k - (n+m)\tilde{S}_{n+m}^k, \\
[\tilde{G}_n^i, T_m^{jk}] &= (m+n)I_{n+m}, [\tilde{G}_n^i, T_m^{kj}] = (n-m)I_{n+m}, [\tilde{G}_n^i, T_m^j] = -\tilde{G}_{n+m}^i + m\tilde{S}_{n+m}^i, \\
[\tilde{G}_n^i, T_m^k] &= -\tilde{G}_{n+m}^i, [\tilde{G}_n^i, I_m^i] = \tilde{J}_{n+m}^{jk}, [\tilde{G}_n^i, I_m] = J_{n+m}^{jk}, [S_n^i, J_m^{jk}] = -2I_{n+m}, [S_n^i, \tilde{J}_m^{ij}] = -\tilde{S}_{n+m}^j, \\
[S_n^i, \tilde{J}_m^{ik}] &= \tilde{S}_{n+m}^k, [S_n^i, \tilde{J}_m^{jk}] = 2I_{n+m}^i, [\tilde{S}_n^i, J_m^{ij}] = S_{n+m}^j, [\tilde{S}_n^i, J_m^{ik}] = -S_{n+m}^k, [I_n^i, J_m^{jk}] = -S_{n+m}^i, \\
[I_n, \tilde{J}_m^{ij}] &= S_{n+m}^k.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

□

## 6. Representation of $CK_6$ associated with its embedding into $P_{h=1}(6)$

Recall that the embedding (5.2) for  $h = 1$  allows to define a one-parameter family of spinor-like representations of  $K'(4)$  in the superspace spanned by 2 even and 2 odd fields, where the central element  $h$  acts by the identity operator, [20].

**Theorem 3.** There exists a one-parameter family of irreducible representations of  $CK_6$ , depending on parameter  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ , in a superspace spanned by 4 even fields and 4 odd fields.

*Proof.* Let  $V^\mu = t^\mu \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \otimes \Lambda(3)$ , where  $\Lambda(3) = \Lambda(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)$  is the Grassmann algebra,



and  $\mu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $\{v_m^i, \hat{v}_m^i\}$ , where  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , be the following basis in  $V^\mu$ :

$$v_m^i = \frac{t^{m+\mu}}{m+\mu} \xi_i, \quad \hat{v}_m^i = t^{m+\mu} \xi_j \xi_k, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \quad v_m^4 = \frac{t^{m+\mu}}{m+\mu}, \quad \hat{v}_m^4 = -t^{m+\mu} \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3, \quad (6.1)$$

where  $(i, j, k) = (1, 2, 3)$  in the formulae for  $\hat{v}_m^i$ . We define a representation of  $CK_6$  in  $V^\mu$  according to the formulae (5.7), where  $h = 1$ . Namely,  $\xi_i$  is the operator of multiplication in  $\Lambda(3)$ ,  $\eta_i$  is identified with  $\partial_{\xi_i}$ , and  $\xi^{-1}$  is identified with the anti-derivative:

$$\xi^{-1} g(t) = \int g(t) dt, \quad g \in t^\mu \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]. \quad (6.2)$$

Notice that the formula

$$\xi^{-1} \circ_{h=1} f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n (\xi^n f) \xi^{-n-1}, \quad (6.3)$$

where  $f \in \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ , when applied to a function  $g \in t^\mu \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ , corresponds to the formula of integration by parts:

$$\int f g dt = f \int g dt - f' \int \int g dt^2 + f'' \int \int \int g dt^3 - \dots \quad (6.4)$$

The superalgebra  $CK_6$  acts on  $V^\mu$  as follows (see (5.8) for notations):

$$\begin{aligned} L_n(v_m^i) &= (m+n+\mu)v_{m+n}^i, & L_n(\hat{v}_m^i) &= (m+\mu)\hat{v}_{m+n}^i, \\ G_n^i(v_m^4) &= (m+n+\mu)v_{m+n}^i, & G_n^i(\hat{v}_m^i) &= -(m+\mu)\hat{v}_{m+n}^4, \\ G_n^i(v_m^j) &= \hat{v}_{m+n}^k, & G_n^i(v_m^k) &= -\hat{v}_{m+n}^j, & \tilde{G}_n^i(v_m^i) &= v_{m+n}^4, & \tilde{G}_n^i(\hat{v}_m^4) &= -\hat{v}_{m+n}^i, \\ \tilde{G}_n^i(\hat{v}_m^j) &= -(m+\mu)v_{m+n}^k, & \tilde{G}_n^i(\hat{v}_m^k) &= (m+n+\mu)v_{m+n}^j, \\ T_n^{ij}(v_m^j) &= v_{m+n}^i, & T_n^{ij}(\hat{v}_m^i) &= -\hat{v}_{m+n}^j, & T_n^i(v_m^i) &= v_{m+n}^i, & T_n^i(v_m^4) &= v_{m+n}^4, \\ T_n^i(\hat{v}_m^i) &= -\hat{v}_{m+n}^i, & T_n^i(\hat{v}_m^4) &= -\hat{v}_{m+n}^4, & S_n^i(v_m^4) &= v_{m+n}^i, & S_n^i(\hat{v}_m^i) &= \hat{v}_{m+n}^4, \\ \tilde{S}_n^i(\hat{v}_m^j) &= v_{m+n}^k, & \tilde{S}_n^i(\hat{v}_m^k) &= v_{m+n}^j, & I_n^i(\hat{v}_m^i) &= -v_{m+n}^i, & I_n(v_m^4) &= -\hat{v}_{m+n}^4, \\ J_n^{ij}(v_m^4) &= \hat{v}_{m+n}^k, & J_n^{ij}(v_m^k) &= -\hat{v}_{m+n}^4, & \tilde{J}_n^{ij}(\hat{v}_m^k) &= -v_{m+n}^4, & \tilde{J}_n^{ij}(\hat{v}_m^4) &= v_{m+n}^k, \end{aligned} \quad (6.5)$$

where  $(i, j, k) = (1, 2, 3)$  in the formulae for  $\tilde{G}_n^i$ ,  $\tilde{S}_n^i$ ,  $J_n^{ij}$ , and  $\tilde{J}_n^{ij}$ . Formulae (6.5) define a one-parameter family of representations of  $CK_6$  in  $V^\mu = \langle v_m^i, \hat{v}_m^i \mid i = 1, \dots, 4, m \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle$ .

□

**Remark 1.** We have posed the condition  $\mu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$  in the definition of  $V^\mu$ . However, formulae (6.5) actually define a representation of  $CK_6$  in a superspace spanned by  $v_m^i, \hat{v}_m^i$  for an arbitrary  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ . (See also section 8).

## 7. The second family of representations of $CK_6$

Note that the embedding of infinite-dimensional Lie superalgebras

$$CK_6 \subset K(6), \quad (7.1)$$

considered in this work, is naturally related to the embedding of finite-dimensional Lie superalgebras

$$\hat{\mathcal{P}}(4) \subset P(0|6). \quad (7.2)$$

Recall that  $P(0|6)$  is the Poisson superalgebra with 6 odd generators:  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3$ , and the Poisson bracket is given by (2.4). The simple Lie superalgebra  $\mathcal{P}(n)$  is defined as follows. Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}(n)$  be the Lie superalgebra, which preserves the odd nondegenerate supersymmetric bilinear form antidiag  $(1_n, 1_n)$  on the  $(n|n)$ -dimensional superspace. Thus

$$\tilde{\mathcal{P}}(n) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & -A^t \end{pmatrix} \mid A \in \mathfrak{gl}(n), B \text{ and } C \text{ are } n \times n \text{ matrices, } B^t = B, C^t = -C \right\}. \quad (7.3)$$

$\mathcal{P}(n)$  is a subalgebra of  $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}(n)$  such that  $A \in \mathfrak{sl}(n)$ , [7]. A. Sergeev has proved that  $\mathcal{P}(n)$  has a nontrivial central extension if and only if  $N = 4$ , see [18]. Note that  $\dim \hat{\mathcal{P}}(4) = (16|16)$ . It was pointed out in [6, 18] that  $\hat{\mathcal{P}}(4)$  has a family  $\text{spin}_\lambda$  of  $(4|4)$ -dimensional irreducible representations. In fact, there exist two such families: they correspond to two families of embeddings of  $\hat{\mathcal{P}}(4)$  into  $P(0|6)$ .

For every  $\lambda \neq 0$  we can realize  $\hat{\mathcal{P}}(4)$  inside  $P(0|6)$  as follows:

$$\hat{\mathcal{P}}(4) = \langle L, G^i, \tilde{G}^i, T^{ij}, T^i, S^i, \tilde{S}^i, I^i, I, J^{ij}, \tilde{J}^{ij} \rangle, \quad (7.4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \lambda, G^i = \lambda \eta_i, \tilde{G}^i = \xi_i, T^{ij} = \eta_i \xi_j, T^i = -\eta_j \xi_j - \eta_k \xi_k, \\ S^i &= -\eta_i (\eta_j \xi_j + \eta_k \xi_k), \tilde{S}^i = \frac{1}{\lambda} (\eta_j \xi_j - \eta_k \xi_k) \xi_i, \\ I^i &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \eta_i \xi_j \xi_k, I = \lambda \eta_1 \eta_2 \eta_3, J^{ij} = \lambda \eta_i \eta_j, \tilde{J}^{ij} = \frac{1}{\lambda} \xi_i \xi_j, \end{aligned} \quad (7.5)$$

so that the central element is  $L$ . Correspondingly, there is an embedding of  $\hat{\mathcal{P}}(4)$  into  $P_{\mathbf{h}}(0|6)$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\mathbf{h}} &= \lambda, G_{\mathbf{h}}^i = \lambda\eta_i, \tilde{G}_{\mathbf{h}}^i = \xi_i, T_{\mathbf{h}}^{ij} = \eta_i\xi_j, T_{\mathbf{h}}^i = -\eta_j\xi_j - \eta_k\xi_k + \mathbf{h}, \\ S_{\mathbf{h}}^i &= -\eta_i(\eta_j\xi_j + \eta_k\xi_k) + \mathbf{h}\eta_i, \tilde{S}_{\mathbf{h}}^i = \frac{1}{\lambda}(\xi_j\xi_i\eta_j - \xi_k\xi_i\eta_k), \\ I_{\mathbf{h}}^i &= \frac{1}{\lambda}\xi_j\xi_k\eta_i, I_{\mathbf{h}} = \lambda\eta_1\eta_2\eta_3, J_{\mathbf{h}}^{ij} = \lambda\eta_i\eta_j, \tilde{J}_{\mathbf{h}}^{ij} = \frac{1}{\lambda}\xi_i\xi_j, \end{aligned} \quad (7.6)$$

and  $\lim_{\mathbf{h} \rightarrow 0} \hat{\mathcal{P}}(4) = \hat{\mathcal{P}}(4) \subset P(0|6)$ . The nonvanishing commutation relations between the elements (7.5) and between the elements (7.6) are as in (5.9)-(5.10), where the indexes  $m = n = 0$ .

Associated to this embedding (for  $\mathbf{h} = 1$ ) there is a family  $\text{spin}_{\lambda}^1$  of representations of  $\hat{\mathcal{P}}(4)$  in the superspace  $\Lambda(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)$ . We choose the basis

$$v^i = \xi_i, \hat{v}^i = \frac{1}{\lambda}\xi_j\xi_k, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \quad v^4 = 1, \quad \hat{v}^4 = -\frac{1}{\lambda}\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3. \quad (7.7)$$

Explicitely,

$$\text{spin}_{\lambda}^1 : \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & -A^t \end{pmatrix} + \mathbb{C}L \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} A & B - \lambda\tilde{C} \\ C & -A^t \end{pmatrix} + \mathbb{C}\lambda \cdot 1_{4|4}, \quad (7.8)$$

where  $1_{4|4}$  is the identity matrix, and if  $C_{ij} = E_{ij} - E_{ji}$ , then  $\tilde{C}_{ij} = C_{kl}$ , so that the permutation  $(1, 2, 3, 4) \mapsto (i, j, k, l)$  is even, cf. [6, 18]. Formula (7.8) also gives the standard representation  $\text{spin}_0^1$ .

The second family of embeddings of  $\hat{\mathcal{P}}(4)$  into  $P(0|6)$  and into  $P_{\mathbf{h}}(0|6)$  is given by (7.4)-(7.6), where  $\xi_i$  is interchanged with  $\eta_i$  for all  $i$  in all the formulae. Correspondingly, there is a family  $\text{spin}_{\lambda}^2$  of representations of  $\hat{\mathcal{P}}(4)$  associated to this embedding (for  $\mathbf{h} = 1$ ) in the superspace  $\Lambda(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)$ , so that  $\Pi(\text{spin}_{\lambda}^2) \cong \text{spin}_{\lambda}^1$ , as  $\hat{\mathcal{P}}(4)$ -modules, for all  $\lambda$ . ( $\Pi$  denotes the change of parity). From Theorem 3 we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.** Under the restriction of the representation of  $CK_6$  in  $V^{\mu}$  to  $\hat{\mathcal{P}}(4)$ ,  $V^{\mu}$  decomposes into a direct sum of irreducible  $(4|4)$ -dimensional representations of the family  $\text{spin}_{\lambda}^2$ .

*Proof.* Naturally, there are embeddings:

$$\hat{\mathcal{P}}(4) \subset CK_6, \quad P(0|6) \subset K(6). \quad (7.9)$$

The first embedding is given as follows:

$$\hat{\mathcal{P}}(4) = \{x \in CK_6 \mid [L_0, x] = 0\}, \quad (7.10)$$

hence  $L_0$  is the central element. The nontrivial 2-cocycle on  $\mathcal{P}(4)$  is  $(G_0^i, \tilde{G}_0^j) = \delta_{i,j} L_0$ . It follows from (6.5) that  $V^\mu$  is a direct sum of  $(4|4)$ -dimensional  $\hat{\mathcal{P}}(4)$ -submodules:

$$V^\mu = \oplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} V_m^\mu, \quad V_m^\mu = \langle v_m^i, \hat{v}_m^i \mid i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \rangle, \quad (7.11)$$

where  $V_m^\mu \cong \text{spin}_{m+\mu}^2$ .

□

It is possible to define another embedding of  $CK_6$  into  $P(6)$  (respectively, into  $P_h(6)$ ) by interchanging  $\xi_i$  with  $\eta_i$  in all the formulae (4.1) (respectively in (5.7)), and then obtain a one-parameter family of representations of  $CK_6$  in  $V^\mu$  by repeating the previous construction. Thus the following theorem holds.

**Theorem 4.** Consider the following basis in  $V^\mu$ :

$$v_m^i = t^{m+\mu} \xi_i, \quad \hat{v}_m^i = \frac{t^{m+\mu}}{m+\mu} \xi_j \xi_k, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \quad v_m^4 = t^{m+\mu}, \quad \hat{v}_m^4 = -\frac{t^{m+\mu}}{m+\mu} \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3, \quad (7.12)$$

where  $(i, j, k) = (1, 2, 3)$  in the formulae for  $\hat{v}_m^i$ . Then the action of  $CK_6$  on  $V^\mu$  is defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned} L_n(v_m^i) &= (m+\mu) v_{m+n}^i, & L_n(\hat{v}_m^i) &= (m+n+\mu) \hat{v}_{m+n}^i, \\ G_n^i(v_m^i) &= (m+\mu) v_{m+n}^4, & G_n^i(\hat{v}_m^4) &= -(m+n+\mu) \hat{v}_{m+n}^i, \\ G_n^i(\hat{v}_m^k) &= v_{m+n}^j, & G_n^i(\hat{v}_m^j) &= -v_{m+n}^k, & \tilde{G}_n^i(v_m^4) &= v_{m+n}^i, & \tilde{G}_n^i(\hat{v}_m^i) &= -\hat{v}_{m+n}^4, \\ \tilde{G}_n^i(v_m^k) &= -(m+n+\mu) \hat{v}_{m+n}^j, & \tilde{G}_n^i(v_m^j) &= (m+\mu) \hat{v}_{m+n}^k, \\ T_n^{ij}(v_m^i) &= -v_{m+n}^j, & T_n^{ij}(\hat{v}_m^j) &= \hat{v}_{m+n}^i, & T_n^i(v_m^i) &= -v_{m+n}^i, & T_n^i(v_m^4) &= -v_{m+n}^4, \\ T_n^i(\hat{v}_m^i) &= \hat{v}_{m+n}^i, & T_n^i(\hat{v}_m^4) &= \hat{v}_{m+n}^4, & S_n^i(v_m^i) &= -v_{m+n}^4, & S_n^i(\hat{v}_m^4) &= -\hat{v}_{m+n}^i, \\ \tilde{S}_n^i(v_m^k) &= -\hat{v}_{m+n}^j, & \tilde{S}_n^i(v_m^j) &= -\hat{v}_{m+n}^k, & I_n^i(v_m^i) &= \hat{v}_{m+n}^i, & I_n(\hat{v}_m^4) &= v_{m+n}^4, \\ J_n^{ij}(\hat{v}_m^k) &= -v_{m+n}^4, & J_n^{ij}(\hat{v}_m^4) &= v_{m+n}^k, & \tilde{J}_n^{ij}(v_m^4) &= \hat{v}_{m+n}^k, & \tilde{J}_n^{ij}(v_m^k) &= -\hat{v}_{m+n}^4, \end{aligned} \quad (7.13)$$

where  $(i, j, k) = (1, 2, 3)$  in the formulae for  $\tilde{G}_n^i$ ,  $\tilde{S}_n^i$ ,  $J_n^{ij}$ , and  $\tilde{J}_n^{ij}$ . Thus  $V^\mu$  is a direct sum of  $(4|4)$ -dimensional  $\hat{\mathcal{P}}(4)$ -submodules, see (7.11), where  $V_m^\mu \cong \text{spin}_{m+\mu}^1$ .

## 8. Final remarks

In Theorem 1 we realized  $CK_6$  inside the  $\deg = 1$  part of the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading of  $P(6)$ , given by (4.3), and in Theorem 2 we realized  $CK_6$  inside  $P_h(6)$ . One should note that in this realization the elements of  $CK_6$  have powers  $-1, 0$  and  $1$  with respect to  $\xi$ , see (4.1) and (5.7).

We will now show how to single out  $CK_6$  from  $P_h(6)$ . Let  $S$  be a subspace of  $P_h(6)$  spanned by  $W(3)$  (which consists of the elements of power 0 and 1 with respect to  $\xi$ ) and the following fields ( $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^{-1} \circ_h t^{n-1} \eta_i \eta_j, \quad \xi^{-1} \circ_h t^{n-1} \eta_j \eta_k \xi_i, \\ \xi^{-1} \circ_h t^{n-1} \eta_i \eta_j \xi_j, \quad \xi^{-1} \circ_h t^{n-1} \eta_k \eta_j \xi_k \xi_i, \\ n \xi^{-1} \circ_h t^{n-1} \eta_j \eta_k \xi_j \xi_k + h t^n, \quad n \xi^{-1} \circ_h t^{n-1} \eta_j \eta_k \xi_i \xi_j \xi_k + h t^n \xi_i. \end{aligned} \quad (8.1)$$

Fix  $h = 1$ . Let  $\mu \in (0, 1)$ . The action of the elements of  $S$  on the spaces  $V^\mu$  is well-defined. In each  $V^\mu$  we defined a basis by (6.1). We will denote it now by  $V^\mu = \langle v_m^i(\mu), \hat{v}_m^i(\mu) \rangle$ . Let  $v(\mu) \in V^\mu$  be vectors which have the same coordinates with respect to this basis for all  $\mu$ . Consider an odd nondegenerate superskew-symmetric form on each  $V^\mu$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (v_m^i(\mu), \hat{v}_l^i(\mu))_\mu &= -(\hat{v}_l^i(\mu), v_m^i(\mu))_\mu = \delta_{m+l,0} \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \\ (v_m^4(\mu), \hat{v}_l^4(\mu))_\mu &= -(\hat{v}_l^4(\mu), v_m^4(\mu))_\mu = -\delta_{m+l,0}. \end{aligned} \quad (8.2)$$

Let  $V = \langle v_m^i, \hat{v}_m^i \rangle$ , where  $i = 1, \dots, 4$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , be a superspace such that  $p(v_m^i) = p(\hat{v}_m^i) = \bar{1}$ ,  $p(v_m^4) = p(\hat{v}_m^4) = \bar{0}$ . A superskew-symmetric form on  $V$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (v_m^i, \hat{v}_l^i) &= -(\hat{v}_l^i, v_m^i) = \delta_{m+l,0} \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \\ (v_m^4, \hat{v}_l^4) &= -(\hat{v}_l^4, v_m^4) = -\delta_{m+l,0}. \end{aligned} \quad (8.3)$$

**Theorem 5.**

$$\begin{aligned} CK_6 &= \{X \in S \mid \lim_{\mu \rightarrow 0} [(Xv(\mu), w(\mu))_\mu + (-1)^{p(X)p(v(\mu))} (v(\mu), Xw(\mu))_\mu] = 0, \\ &\text{for all } v(\mu), w(\mu) \in V^\mu\}. \end{aligned} \quad (8.4)$$

There is a representation of  $CK_6$  in  $V$  given by (6.5), where  $\mu = 0$ , and this action preserves the form (8.3).

**Remark 2.** Correspondingly, there is a representation of  $CK_6$  in  $V$  given by (7.13), where  $\mu = 0$ , and this action preserves the odd nondegenerate supersymmetric form on  $V$ :

$$(v_m^i, \hat{v}_l^i) = (\hat{v}_l^i, v_m^i) = \delta_{m+l,0} \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4. \quad (8.5)$$

## Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the Anna-Greta and Holder Crafoords fond, The Royal Swedish Academy of Sciences. This work was started in 2002 at the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute, Berkeley, during the program “Infinite-Dimensional Algebras and Mathematical Physics,” and developed at the Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques, Bures-sur-Yvette, in 2003. I wish to thank MSRI and IHES for the hospitality and support. I am grateful to B. Feigin, V. Serganova and I. Shchepochkina for very useful discussions.

## References

- [1] M. Ademollo, L. Brink, A. D’Adda *et al.*, “Dual strings with  $U(1)$  colour symmetry”, Nucl. Phys. B **111**, 77-110 (1976).
- [2] V. I. Arnold, *Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989).
- [3] S.-J. Cheng and V. G. Kac, “A new  $N = 6$  superconformal algebra”, Commun. Math. Phys. **186**, 219-231 (1997).
- [4] B. Feigin and D. Leites, “New Lie superalgebras of string theories”, in *Group-Theoretical Methods in Physics*, edited by M. Markov *et al.*, (Nauka, Moscow, 1983), Vol. 1, 269-273. [English translation Gordon and Breach, New York, 1984].
- [5] B. Feigin, *Private communication*.
- [6] P. Grozman, D. Leites, and I. Shchepochkina, “Lie superalgebras of string theories”, Acta Math. Vietnam. **26**, no 1, 27-63 (2001).
- [7] V. G. Kac, “Lie superalgebras”, Adv. Math. **26**, 8-96 (1977).
- [8] V. G. Kac, “Superconformal algebras and transitive group actions on quadrics”, Commun. Math. Phys. **186**, 233-252 (1997).
- [9] V. G. Kac, “Classification of infinite-dimensional simple linearly compact Lie superalgebras”, Adv. Math. **139**, 1-55 (1998).
- [10] V. G. Kac, “Structure of some  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Lie superalgebras of vector fields”, Transform. Groups **4**, 219-272 (1999).
- [11] V. G. Kac, “Vertex algebras for beginners”, University Lecture Series, Vol. 10 AMS, Providence, RI, 1996. Second edition 1998.
- [12] V. G. Kac and J. W. van de Leur, “On classification of superconformal algebras”, in *Strings-88*, edited by S. J. Gates *et al.* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1989), 77-106.
- [13] B. Khesin, V. Lyubashenko, and C. Roger, “Extensions and contractions of the Lie algebra of  $q$ -pseudodifferential symbols on the circle”, J. Funct. Anal. **143**, 55-97 (1997).

- [14] O. S. Kravchenko and B. A. Khesin, “Central extension of the algebra of pseudodifferential symbols”, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **25**, 83-85 (1991).
- [15] V. Ovsienko and C. Roger, “Deforming the Lie algebra of vector fields on  $S^1$  inside the Poisson algebra on  $\dot{T}^*S^1$ ”, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **198**, 97-110 (1998).
- [16] V. Ovsienko and C. Roger, “Deforming the Lie algebra of vector fields on  $S^1$  inside the Lie algebra of pseudodifferential symbols on  $S^1$ ”, *Am. Math. Soc. Trans.* **194**, 211-226 (1999).
- [17] I. Shchepochkina, “The five exceptional simple Lie superalgebras of vector fields”, [hep-th/9702121](#).
- [18] I. Shchepochkina, “The five exceptional simple Lie superalgebras of vector fields”, *Funkt. Anal. i Prilozhen.* **33**, 59-72 (1999). [*Funct. Anal. Appl.* **33**, 208-219 (1999)].
- [19] I. Shchepochkina, “The five exceptional simple Lie superalgebras of vector fields and their fourteen regradings”, *Represent. Theory* **3**, 373-415 (1999).
- [20] E. Poletaeva, *A spinor-like representation of the contact superconformal algebra  $K'(4)$* , *J. Math. Phys.* **42**, 526-540 (2001); [hep-th/0011100](#) and references therein.